

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/220,277	12/23/1998	JAN HERMANUS ELENBAAS	PHA23.590	3711	
24737	7590 08/26/2003				
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER		
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			HUYNH, SON P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611	9	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/220,277	ELENBAAS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Son P Huynh	2611			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period fo						
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE WAY OF THE WA	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		22 /-/- 2002				
1)[<u> </u>	·				
2a)□	,	This action is non-final.				
3)⊡ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un tion of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) Objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No			
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Copies at the attacked detailed Office action for a	il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	•				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•				
_	 The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Attachmer	•		35			
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/220,277

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-16, drawn to classification system, classified in class 725, subclass 14.
 - II. Claims 17-40, drawn to retrieval system and user interface, classified in class 725, subclass 115.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as the retrieval system can be used in other areas such as data processing system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/220,277

Art Unit: 2611

A telephone call was made to Edward W. Goodman (Reg. 28,613) on August 13,

Page 3

2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result

in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Son P Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-

1889. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on 703-305-4380. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number

is 703-306-0377.

Son P. Huynh August 13,2003 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600